HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE D.S.R.VARMA
AND
HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE P.SWAROOP REDDY

TAKEN UP WRIT PETITION NO.19451 OF 2006
Dated:27.09.2006

-
-

Mohammed Salahuddin

…Petitioner

The Government of A.P., reptd by
its Secretary, Home Department,
Secretariat, Hyderabad and
five others.

…Respondents

HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE D.S.R.VARMA
AND
HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE P.SWAROOP REDDY

TAKEN UP WRIT PETITION NO.19451 OF 2006

-
-

ORAL ORDER: *(per Hon'ble Sri Justice D.S.R.Varma)*

Heard the learned Government Pleader for Home, representing the learned Advocate General, appearing for the respondents.

2. This writ petition is taken up on the basis of a letter, dated NIL, addressed to the Hon'ble the Chief Justice, High Court of Andhra Pradesh, by one Mohammed Salahuddin. The grievances of the petitioner

in the said letter are that he was falsely implicated in Sakhi Tiger case in Crime No.122 of 2000 registered under Sections-457, 380 and 429 I.P.C., and under the Wild Life Act on the file of II Chief Metropolitan Magistrate, Nampally, Hyderabad; charge sheets against him are not filed since last five months; he was implicated in 15 false cases by the Police of Automobile Team, City Crime Station, Hyderabad and remanding to jail; not producing him before the Magistrate by providing proper escort on the dates and obtaining warrants and dates from the Magistrate; and that transferring him from Central Prison, Chanchalguda to Central Prison, Cherlapally.

3. Upon notice being issued by this Court, the fourth respondent-Superintendent, Chanchalguda Jail, Hyderabad, filed counter-affidavit, wherein a categorical account of the events and various cases that were pending against the petitioner was given and it is further stated that petitioner was being produced before the respective Courts in connection with the respective cases registered against him and that he was shifted from Chanchalguda Central Prison to Cherlapally Central Prison on security reasons as per the orders, dated 19.06.2006, of the Metropolitan Sessions Judge, Hyderabad. It is further stated that the petitioner was produced 46 times before different Courts in a span of four months between 20.02.2006 and 24.06.2006.

4. In view of the said details, furnished by the Superintendent, Chanchalguda Jail, Hyderabad, in his counter-affidavit, we are of the considered view that there are no merits in the letter of the petitioner and the writ petition is liable to be closed.

5. Accordingly, the writ petition is closed, at the stage of admission.

_____

D.S.R.VARMA, J

27.09.2006
DR

_____

P.SWAROOP REDDY, J